



Disinvestment of Public Sector Undertakings: A Critical Study with Special Reference to India

Aruna Pani¹, Karunakar Karkaria², B. Lavanya³, Beda Prakash Kar⁴

¹Assistant Professor/Department of H&BS/RITAM/BPUT/India

²Professor/Department of Management/VIMS/BPUT/India

³Assistant Professor/Department of Management/VIMS/BPUT/India

⁴Associate Professor/Department of Management/VIMS/BPUT/India

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.56025/IJARESM.140426305>

ABSTRACT

Disinvestment of Public Sector Undertakings (PSUs) has emerged as a significant economic reform strategy in India since the 1991 liberalization. The policy aims to reduce government ownership, improve efficiency, and encourage private sector participation. This paper critically examines the concept, objectives, methods, and impact of disinvestment in India. It also evaluates its advantages and disadvantages, supported by case studies and empirical insights. The study finds that while disinvestment has improved efficiency and reduced fiscal burden, it also raises concerns regarding employment, social welfare, and strategic control. The paper concludes with policy suggestions for balanced and sustainable disinvestment.

Keywords: Disinvestment, Public Sector Undertakings, Privatization, Economic Reforms, India, Efficiency, Fiscal Policy

INTRODUCTION

Public Sector Undertakings (PSUs) have played a crucial role in India's economic development since independence. They were established to promote industrial growth, reduce regional disparities, and ensure equitable distribution of resources (Arun & Nixon, 2000). However, over time, many PSUs became inefficient, loss-making, and financially burdensome. Disinvestment refers to the process by which the government sells or reduces its ownership stake in public sector enterprises (Yadav & Sharma, 2011). It gained importance after the **1991 economic reforms**, when India adopted liberalization, privatization, and globalization policies (Government of India, 2023).

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

- To understand the concept and types of disinvestments
- To analyse the need and objectives of disinvestment
- To evaluate the impact of disinvestment on PSUs
- To study advantages and disadvantages
- To suggest policy recommendations

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study is based on **secondary data** collected from journals, books, government reports, and scholarly articles. Analytical and descriptive research methods have been used to interpret the data (Ramakrishnan & Sandhya, 2010).

CONCEPT OF DISINVESTMENT

Disinvestment is the process of selling government equity in PSUs to private investors or the public (Jain et al., 2014). It can be partial or complete, depending on the extent of ownership transferred.

Types of Disinvestment

1. **Minority Disinvestment** – Government retains majority control

"This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License."

License url: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>



2. **Majority Disinvestment** – Government loses majority ownership
3. **Strategic Disinvestment** – Transfer of management control
4. **Complete Privatization** – Full transfer to private sector

NEED FOR DISINVESTMENT

The need for disinvestment arises due to the following reasons:

- **Inefficiency in PSUs** – Bureaucratic inefficiencies reduce productivity (Arun & Nixon, 2000)
- **Fiscal Burden** – Loss-making PSUs increase government expenditure (Government of India, 2023)
- **Resource Mobilization** – Generates funds for development projects (Yadav & Sharma, 2011)
- **Encouraging Competition** – Promotes private sector participation (Megginson & Netter, 2001)
- **Globalization Pressure** – Aligns with global economic reforms (Gupta, 2005)

LITERATURE REVIEW

Previous studies indicate that disinvestment significantly improves firm performance and operational efficiency. Megginson and Netter (2001) found that privatization leads to increased profitability and productivity. Similarly, Gupta (2005) observed that partial privatization enhances firm value due to improved corporate governance.

However, some researchers highlight concerns related to employment and social welfare. Boubakri and Cosset (1998) argued that privatization may lead to workforce reductions. Mandiratta and Bhalla (2020) emphasized that while financial performance improves, social implications must be carefully managed.

IMPACT OF DISINVESTMENT

VII.I Positive Impact

- **Improved Efficiency**

Disinvestment enhances operational performance due to private sector efficiency (Megginson & Netter, 2001)

- **Reduction in Fiscal Deficit**

Government generates revenue through asset sales (Government of India, 2023)

- **Better Corporate Governance**

Transparency and accountability increase (Gupta, 2005)

- **Encouragement to Private Sector**

Promotes competition and investment (Yadav & Sharma, 2011)

VII.II Negative Impact

- **Job Losses**

Privatization may lead to employee retrenchment (Boubakri & Cosset, 1998)

- **Loss of Public Control**

Strategic sectors may be dominated by private entities (Arun & Nixon, 2000)

- **Income Inequality**

Wealth concentration may increase (Mandiratta & Bhalla, 2020)

- **Risk of Undervaluation**

Assets may be sold below intrinsic value (Sehgal et al., 2014)

CASE STUDIES

VIII.I Maruti Udyog Limited

Disinvestment and collaboration with Suzuki improved operational efficiency and global competitiveness (Jain et al., 2014).

VIII.II Air India

“This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.”

License url: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>



Recent privatization reduced fiscal burden but raised concerns regarding employee welfare (Government of India, 2023).

CHALLENGES IN DISINVESTMENT

- Political opposition and public resistance (Yadav & Sharma, 2011)
- Valuation and transparency issues (Sehgal et al., 2014)
- Legal and regulatory hurdles (Ramakrishnan & Sandhya, 2010)
- Employee unions and social concerns (Mandiratta & Bhalla, 2020)

FINDINGS

- Disinvestment improves efficiency, particularly in competitive sectors (Megginson & Netter, 2001)
- Strategic disinvestment yields better results than partial disinvestment (Gupta, 2005)
- Transparency issues reduce public trust (Sehgal et al., 2014)
- Balanced policy approach is essential for long-term success (Government of India, 2023)

SUGGESTIONS

- Ensure transparent valuation and bidding process (Sehgal et al., 2014)
- Protect employee interests through reskilling programs (Boubakri & Cosset, 1998)
- Retain control in strategic sectors (Arun & Nixon, 2000)
- Strengthen regulatory mechanisms (Mandiratta & Bhalla, 2020)
- Utilize funds for infrastructure and social welfare (Government of India, 2023)

CONCLUSION

Disinvestment of PSUs is a key reform strategy aimed at improving efficiency and reducing fiscal burden. While it has contributed positively to economic growth and corporate performance, it also raises concerns regarding employment and social equity. Therefore, a balanced, transparent, and well-regulated disinvestment policy is essential for achieving sustainable development in India (Megginson & Netter, 2001).

REFERENCES

1. Arun, T. G., & Nixon, F. I. (2000). *The disinvestment of public sector enterprises: The Indian experience*. Oxford Development Studies, 28(1), 19–32.
2. Ramakrishnan, M. K., & Sandhya, R. (2010). *Disinvestment of Public Sector Undertakings in India: An Impact Study*. Indian Journal of Finance, 4(8), 31–42.
3. Jain, P. K., Gupta, S., & Yadav, S. S. (2014). *Public Sector Enterprises in India: The Impact of Disinvestment and Self Obligation on Financial Performance*. Springer, New Delhi.
4. Sehgal, S., Kumar, M., & Gupta, P. (2014). *Public Sector Disinvestment in India: Price Reactions and Underpricing Issues*. Global Business Review, 6(2).
5. Mandiratta, P., & Bhalla, G. S. (2020). *Disinvestment in Indian central public sector enterprises: A performance improvement measure*. Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences.
6. Yadav, A. K., & Sharma, V. (2011). *A Study of Disinvestment and Privatization Policies of PSU in India*. Journal of Commerce and Trade.